1	RONALD L. JOHNSTON (State Bar No. 057418) LAURENCE J. HUTT (State Bar No. 066269) SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. 152399) JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. 169134)		
2	SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. 152399) JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. 169134)		
3	1900 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor		
4	Los Angeles, California 90067-4408 Telephone: (310) 552-2500 Facsimile: (310) 552-1191		
5			
6	Of Counsel: RICHARD L. ROSEN (Admitted pro hac ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	vice)	
7	555 Twelfth Street NW		
8	Washington, D.C. 20004-1206 Telephone: (202) 942-5000		
9	Facsimile: (202) 942-5999	,	
10	BRIAN A. DAVIS (Admitted pro hac vice VERISIGN, INC.		
11	21355 Ridgetop Circle Dulles, Virginia 20166 Telephone: (703) 948-2300		
12	Facsimile: (703) 450-7326		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff, VERISIGN, INC.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware) corporation,	Case No. CV 04-1292 AHM (CTx)	
17	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF VERISIGN, INC.'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO	
18	v.)	DECLARATION FILED BY DEFENDANT ICANN IN SUPPORT OF	
19	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR	SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE VERISIGN'S SECOND, THIRD,	
20	ASSIGNED NAMES AND) NUMBERS, a California corporation;)	FOURTH, FIFTH, AND SIXTH' CLAIMS AS STRATEGIC LAWSUITS	
21	DOES 1-50,	AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	
22	Defendants.	Date: May 17, 2004 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
23	}	Courtroom: 14 – Spring Street Bldg. Hon. A. Howard Matz	
24 25	}	[Memorandum of Points and Authorities;	
25 26	}	Appendix of Exhibits; Declarations; and [Proposed] Order concurrently filed and	
Ì	}	fodged herewith]	
27			
28			

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff VeriSign respectfully submits the following objections to the declaration of John O. Jeffrey ("Jeffrey Declaration") filed by Defendant Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") in support of its Special Motion to Strike Verisign's Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Claims as Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (the "Motion"). VeriSign incorporates by this reference its Opposition to Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice, filed on April 22, 2004. VeriSign also reserves its right to make additional objections to the evidence referenced herein if offered by the Defendant for any other purpose at a later date.

VeriSign objects to the purported evidence submitted by Defendant in support of the Motion on the grounds that only admissible evidence may be considered in ruling on an anti-SLAPP motion. CCP § 425.16(b)(2) ("In making its determination, the court shall consider the pleadings, and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based."); Schoendorf v. U.D. Registry. Inc., 97 Cal. App. 4th 227, 236, 118 Cal. Rptr. 2d 313 (2002) (under anti-SLAPP statute "the requirement that the court consider the pleadings and affidavits of the parties . . . is similar to the standard applied to evidentiary showings in summary judgment motions . . . and requires that the showing be made by competent admissible evidence within the personal knowledge of the declarant "); see generally Norita v. Northern Mariana Islands, 331 F.3d 690, 697-698 (9th Cir. 2003) (affidavits submitted in support of summary judgment must be supported by personal knowledge). VeriSign respectfully requests that the Court sustain its evidentiary objections and strike the evidence referenced below, which fails to meet the required standard of admissibility. The Federal Rules of Evidence are referred to throughout as "FRE."

VeriSign objects to paragraphs 2 and 6-12 of the Jeffrey Declaration on the grounds that the Declaration fails to allege facts sufficient to support a finding that the declarant has personal knowledge of the matters stated therein. FRE 602.

Specifically, Mr. Jeffrey fails to indicate facts sufficient to support the legal conclusion that he has personal knowledge of these matters. And importantly, Mr. Jeffrey fails to state in his Declaration that he became ICANN's General Counsel on or about September 10, 2003 – less than one month before ICANN sent its October 3 Suspension Ultimatum to VeriSign. (See Pope Decl. ¶ 7; App. Ex. 55,56.) Mr. Jeffrey's Declaration purports to state an opinion regarding ICANN's good faith contemplation of an action against VeriSign. Even if his opinion were admissible, which it is not, Mr. Jeffrey fails to lay sufficient foundation for that opinion. He does not declare that he participated in ICANN's decision making process concerning possible action against VeriSign or provide any other facts that would support his conclusion.

OBJECTIONS TO JOHN O. JEFFREY DECLARATION

¶1	Improper Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)
¶2	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602)
¶6	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602) Hearsay (FRE 802)
¶9	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602) Improper Lay Opinion/Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)
¶10	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602) Improper Lay Opinion/Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)

	<i>(</i>)	·)
1 2 3 4	¶11	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602) ("A witness may not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter"); see also Norita, 331 F.3d at 697-698 (affidavits submitted in support of summary judgment must be supported by personal knowledge).
5		Improper Lay Opinion/Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)
6		Hearsay (FRE 802)
7	¶12	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602)
8		Improper Lay Opinion/Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)
9		
10	OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 1 TO JEFFREY DECLARATION	
11		
12	Exhibit 1, "Distribution by Top-Level Domain Name	Irrelevant (FRE 402)
13	by Name, Jan. 2004."	Lack of Personal Knowledge (FRE 602)
14		Improper Lay Opinion/Legal Conclusion (FRE 701)
15		Hearsay (FRE 802)
16		
17	Respectfully submitted,	
18	D 1 1 11 00 0004	ADMOLD A DODERNALD
19	Dated: April <u>29</u> , 2004	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP RONALD L. JOHNSTON
20		LAURENCE J. HUTT SUZANNE V. WILSON
21		JAMES S. BLACKBURN
22		Den Course Blackton
23		By: James S. Blackburn
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff VeriSign, Inc.
25	#319740v2	
26		
27		
28		